

Proposal for PHC Service

Illustrative Kenya Community WASH and Livelihoods Project

This is an illustrative PHC Port project for exploring how PHC Service could support a community-owned WASH and livelihoods intervention in Kenya. The proposed delivery model combines physical WASH outputs with the governance and behaviour-change work required to sustain them. PHC would provide a shared structure for recording the project's intentions, concerns, actions, locations, people, events and deliverables, while its comment mechanism would enable community members, committee representatives, implementers, local administrators and funders to contribute observations and respond to emerging issues. Particular attention would be given to committee legitimacy, community understanding, participation, incentives, inclusion, fee collection, financial transparency, maintenance responsibilities, safeguarding, grievance handling and the relationship between customary practices and formal local administration. The project would begin as a neutral demonstration and 7-Day Review candidate. Its scope, location, beneficiaries, implementing organisation, funding arrangements and delivery claims would remain provisional until authorised stakeholders provide or approve suitable source materials.

PHC Proposal

This proposal requests support to strengthen and scale the project into a **consistent, fundable, well-governed programme** delivering measurable outcomes.

PHC methodology is used to manage project Schedule, Concerns, Actions, Locations, People and Deliverables. With the use of Project Health Control, this project allows its stakeholders a snapshot into its activities and detailed overview of its financial ledger.

Project Summary

The Illustrative Kenya Community WASH and Livelihoods Project explores how safe water, sanitation, hygiene behaviour and household resilience can be sustained through legitimate, inclusive and evidence-led community governance. It has been created from a high-level professional discussion about a live WASH and livelihoods context in Kenya, but it is not yet attributed to or approved by the implementing organisation and contains no confidential project information.

The project begins from the proposition that infrastructure and programme activity alone do not guarantee lasting benefit. Water systems must remain safe and functional; sanitation facilities must be accessible, dignified and used; hygiene messages must become sustained practice; and livelihood support must create fair and credible economic value. Community committees are therefore treated not simply as structures that have been formed, but as working governance arrangements whose authority, representation, decisions, financial conduct and responsiveness need to be understood and trusted by the communities they serve.

PHC Service would provide a continuously maintained evidence base connecting the project's Schedule, Concerns, Actions, Locations, People, Events and Deliverables with stakeholder comments and supporting evidence. The proposed entry point is an authorised PHC 7-Day Review, leading to a Review report and a detailed proposal for the full six-month service. The project scope, locations, beneficiary population, implementing parties, costs and performance claims will remain provisional until authorised source material and stakeholder participation become available.

Involved Parties

Participating community members would be the intended users, beneficiaries and co-governors of the WASH services, with accessible routes to question decisions, report problems and influence priorities.

Community governance committees would coordinate clearly defined responsibilities for water-system management, sanitation and hygiene leadership, local decisions, contributions, maintenance and feedback, while remaining answerable to the people they represent.

The implementing organisation and project leadership would retain responsibility for delivery, safeguarding, formal reporting, partnership management and compliance. The organisation and authorised project lead have not yet been identified within this illustrative proposal.

Technical WASH, public-health and maintenance specialists would protect water quality, infrastructure, sanitation and hygiene standards. **Livelihood practitioners** would help test whether proposed economic activities are viable, inclusive and responsive to local demand.

County and local administration would provide appropriate statutory, technical and service alignment without displacing community voice. **Funders and development partners** would support progressively evidenced activity and consider unresolved concerns as well as reported achievements.

Charles Karari is currently a professional discussion participant supporting implementation in the wider WASH context. He is not represented here as the project owner, organisational sponsor or authorised decision-maker.

Order Efficiency Ltd, led by David Winter with PHC analytical and administrative support, would conduct the 7-Day Review and, if commissioned, facilitate the continuing PHC governance and evidence service. Order Efficiency would not become the WASH implementer, funder or owner of community decisions.

Operational Strategy

The project will be delivered through the standard three-phase Project Health Control (PHC) deployment model. Each phase is designed to add structured governance, reduce risk, and establish mechanisms for traceable value contribution.

Phase 1: 7-Day Review

Timeline: 1 Week

Focus: Diagnostic review to confirm feasibility, define participant roles, and assess readiness.

Activities:

- Conduct stakeholder briefings to introduce PHC methodology.
- Perform SCALPED-based analysis to review concerns, actions, stakeholder fit, and expected deliverables.
- Produce a "Go / No-Go" advisory report.

Phase 2: Setup Phase

Timeline: 2 Months

Focus: Onboarding and setup of PHC systems, induction, and engagement.

Activities:

- Finalise list of participants and assign Trainee roles.
- Deploy PHC Timechunk tracking system and assign access credentials.
- Conduct orientation and light training for participants (e.g. PHC Portal usage, activity recording).
- Define expected hour allocations.

Phase 3: Continuation Phase

Timeline: 3 Months (Renewable)

Focus: Real-time monitoring, adaptive support, and data gathering for public value evaluation.

Activities:

- Ongoing support and refinement of PHC systems.
- Data collection and analysis for impact assessment.
- Regular reporting to stakeholders.

Expected Outcomes

Review and mobilisation outcomes

- An authorised and clearly bounded definition of the real project, its stakeholders, locations, information sources and governance responsibilities.
- A structured account of what is known, unknown, disputed and most important to investigate.
- An initial baseline covering community governance, WASH service functionality, inclusion, maintenance, finance, safeguarding, feedback and livelihood arrangements.
- Priority Concerns, Actions and Deliverables established within PHC, together with a practical decision on whether the proposed six-month service should proceed.

Six-month governance and operational outcomes

- Community committee authority, representation and accountability are better understood by both committee members and the people they serve.
- Significant community comments and concerns remain connected to relevant assets, locations, decisions and responsible parties until appropriately addressed.
- Maintenance, water-safety, financial, complaint and safeguarding responsibilities become clearer and more traceable.
- Women, young people, people with disabilities, poorer households and other groups liable to exclusion have credible ways to participate and challenge decisions.
- Implementers, technical actors, local administration and funders receive progressively maintained evidence rather than relying solely on retrospective reports.
- The project can make more defensible stop, continue, correct or scale decisions.

Desired longer-term outcomes

The intended human outcomes are safer and more dependable water, dignified sanitation, sustained hygiene practices, reduced burdens associated with

FINANCIALS & FORECAST

Cost Structure - Overall		
Category	Description	Total Cost
IT Services and Tooling	Filemaker, Mindmanager, Conferencing, Development Apps (one off contribution)	£1,900
PHC Start Pack - Hardware (*1)	A set of 5 Single Board Computers, Monitor and UPS	£1,442
PHC 7-Day Review (*2)	PHC Service for Pre-start Review (7 days)	£277
PHC Setup (*2)	PHC Service during 2-month Setup Phase	£2,076
PHC Continuation (*2)	PHC Service during 3-month Continuation Phase	£3,236
Miscellaneous	Travel, training, insurance, and other variable costs	£1,000
		£9,931

Cost Breakdown - Hardware		
(*1) Hardware Breakdown		
Category	Description	Total Cost
Single Board Computer Set x5	Raspberry Pi 500, Mouse, hdmi cable, power cable)	£722
Monitor x5	Mini-Monitor (for RP500)	£480
Site UPS	Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) for site computers.	£240
		£1,442

Cost Breakdown - People

(*2) PHC People Costs [Review=M1, Setup=M2,3, Continuation=M4,5,6]

Role	People	Hourly Rate	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	Total (GBP)
PHC Strategist	David Winter	£12.60	10	8	8	8	8	8	£630
PHC Analyst	Abubakr Harakat	£8.40	5	16	16	16	16	16	£714
PHC Admin	PHC Admin	£4.90	5	24	24	24	24	24	£613
PHC Trainee	[name1]								
	[name2]	£1.68	0	48	48	72	72	72	£524
	[name3]								
Project People	Brandon								
	[name2]	£8.40	10	72	72	72	72	72	£3,108
	[name3]								
Total			£277	£1,038	£1,038	£1,079	£1,079	£1,079	£5,589

Footnote - People Costs and PICS Eligibility: The people-related costs shown above relate to funded governance, delivery, leadership, and trainee roles agreed at the outset of the project. These paid hours are **not** eligible for PICS (Pro Bono Social Impact Credits). PICS applies only to unpaid or underpaid service contributed outside funded roles. PHC Service maintains a clear, auditable separation between funded work and any pro-bono contribution, preventing double recognition while ensuring transparency to funders.

6-Month Forecast

Category	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Total
IT Tooling	0	0	0	1,900	0	0	1,900
PHC Start Pack	0	0	1,442	0	0	0	1,442
People - Review	277	0	0	0	0	0	277
People - Setup	0	1,038	1,038	0	0	0	2,076
People - Continuation	0	0	0	1,079	1,079	1,079	3,237
Miscellaneous	0	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
TOTAL	277	2,038	2,480	2,979	1,079	1,079	9,932

Appendices

Appendix Highlights:

- **Community Share Protocol:** 10% allocation recognising grassroots contributors.
- **PHC Share-Out Mechanism:** Structured redistribution of project value to consultants and humanitarian causes.
- **PHC Service Cost Model:** Tiered accessibility ensuring humanitarian affordability.
- **Trainee Integration Pathway:** Local capacity building via live project experience.
- **Consultant Gallery:** Recognition of all certified PHC participants via PHC Portal listings.

Links & Documents

- The Story of PHC - https://phcport.com/media/profile/The_Story_of_PHC.pdf
- Project Gap Analysis - https://phcport.com/openplan/plan.php?project_id=515
- Rate Calculator (xlsx) - https://phcport.com/phcprojects/P515_Kenya_WASH/_PHC_Data/P515_Rate_Calculator.xlsx
- Rate Calculator - 7-Day Review - https://www.phcport.com/phcprojects/P515_Kenya_WASH/_PHC_Data/P515_Rate_Calculator_7_Day_Review.pdf
- Rate Calculator - Setup Phase - https://www.phcport.com/phcprojects/P515_Kenya_WASH/_PHC_Data/P515_Rate_Calculator_Setup_Phase.pdf
- Rate Calculator - Continuation Phase - https://www.phcport.com/phcprojects/P515_Kenya_WASH/_PHC_Data/P515_Rate_Calculator_Continuation_Phase.pdf
- Approach to Prospective Funder - https://www.phcport.com/phcprojects/P515_Kenya_WASH/_PHC_Data/P515_Funder_Approach_from_OE.pdf